## Linear filtering



## Motivation: Image denoising

• How can we reduce noise in a photograph?



# Moving average

- Let's replace each pixel with a *weighted average* of its neighborhood
- The weights are called the *filter kernel*
- What are the weights for the average of a 3x3 neighborhood?



"box filter"

## Defining convolution

Let *f* be the image and *g* be the kernel. The output of convolving *f* with *g* is denoted *f* \* *g*.

$$(f * g)[m, n] = \sum_{k, l} f[m - k, n - l]g[k, l]$$



Convention: kernel is "flipped"

# Key properties

 Shift invariance: same behavior regardless of pixel location: filter(shift(f)) = shift(filter(f))



- Linearity: filter( $f_1 + f_2$ ) = filter( $f_1$ ) + filter( $f_2$ )
- Theoretical result: any linear shift-invariant operator can be represented as a convolution

### Properties in more detail

- Commutative: *a* \* *b* = *b* \* *a* 
  - Conceptually no difference between filter and signal
- Associative: a \* (b \* c) = (a \* b) \* c
  - Often apply several filters one after another: (((a \* b<sub>1</sub>) \* b<sub>2</sub>) \* b<sub>3</sub>)
  - This is equivalent to applying one filter:  $a * (b_1 * b_2 * b_3)$
- Distributes over addition: a \* (b + c) = (a \* b) + (a \* c)
- Scalars factor out: ka \* b = a \* kb = k (a \* b)
- Identity: unit impulse e = [..., 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, ...],
  a \* e = a

# Dealing with edges

 If we convolve image *f* with filter *g*, what is the size of the output?



# Dealing with edges

- If the filter window falls off the edge of the image, we need to pad the image
  - Zero pad (or clip filter)
  - Wrap around
  - Copy edge
  - Reflect across edge





Original



?



Original





Filtered (no change)



Original



?



Original





Shifted *left* By 1 pixel



Original



?



Original





Blur (with a box filter)





(Note that filter sums to 1)

Original

Source: D. Lowe

**'** 









Original

#### **Sharpening filter**

- Accentuates differences with

local average

# Sharpening





before

after

# Sharpening

#### What does blurring take away?



Let's add it back in.





Original Original





## Smoothing with box filter revisited

- What's wrong with this picture?
- What's the solution?



## Smoothing with box filter revisited

- What's wrong with this picture?
- What's the solution?
  - To eliminate edge effects, weight contribution of neighborhood pixels according to their closeness to the center



"fuzzy blob"

### **Gaussian Kernel**

$$G_{\sigma} = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2} e^{-\left(\frac{x^2 + y^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)}$$

	0.003	0.013	0.022	0.013	0.003
	0.013	0.059	0.097	0.059	0.013
	0.022	0.097	0.159	0.097	0.022
	0.013	0.059	0.097	0.059	0.013
	0.003	0.013	0.022	0.013	0.003
-2 -2					

5 x 5, 
$$\sigma = 1$$

 Constant factor at front makes volume sum to 1 (can be ignored when computing the filter values, as we should renormalize weights to sum to 1 in any case)

Source: C. Rasmussen

#### **Gaussian Kernel**



Standard deviation σ: determines extent of smoothing

Source: K. Grauman

## Choosing kernel width

• The Gaussian function has infinite support, but discrete filters use finite kernels



## Choosing kernel width

• Rule of thumb: set filter half-width to about  $3\sigma$ 



### Gaussian vs. box filtering



## Gaussian filters

- Remove high-frequency components from the image (*low-pass filter*)
- Convolution with self is another Gaussian
  - So can smooth with small- $\sigma$  kernel, repeat, and get same result as larger- $\sigma$  kernel would have
  - Convolving two times with Gaussian kernel with std. dev.  $\sigma$  is same as convolving once with kernel with std. dev.  $\sigma\sqrt{2}$
- Separable kernel
  - Factors into product of two 1D Gaussians
  - Discrete example:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 2 & 4 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Source: K. Grauman

#### Separability of the Gaussian filter

$$G_{\sigma}(x,y) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2} e^{-\left(\frac{x^2+y^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)}$$
$$= \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2\sigma^2}}\right) \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} e^{-\frac{y^2}{2\sigma^2}}\right)$$

The 2D Gaussian can be expressed as the product of two functions, one a function of x and the other a function of y.

In this case the two functions are the (identical) 1D Gaussian.

#### 1D Gaussian \* 1D Gaussian = 2D Gaussian Image \* 2D Gauss = Image \* (1D Gauss \* 1D Gauss) = (Image \* 1D Gauss) \* 1D Gauss



## Why is separability useful?

- Separability means that a 2D convolution can be reduced to two 1D convolutions (one along rows and one along columns)
- What is the complexity of filtering an n×n image with an m×m kernel?
  - O(n<sup>2</sup> m<sup>2</sup>)
- What if the kernel is separable?
  - O(n<sup>2</sup> m)

#### Noise



Original



Salt and pepper noise



Impulse noise



Gaussian noise

- Salt and pepper noise: contains random occurrences of black and white pixels
- Impulse noise: contains random occurrences of white pixels
- Gaussian noise: variations in intensity drawn from a Gaussian normal distribution

## Gaussian noise

- Mathematical model: sum of many independent factors
- Good for small standard deviations
- Assumption: independent, zero-mean noise



 $f(x,y) = \overbrace{\widehat{f(x,y)}}^{\text{Ideal Image}} + \overbrace{\eta(x,y)}^{\text{Noise process}}$ 

Gaussian i.i.d. ("white") noise:  $\eta(x,y) \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu,\sigma)$ 

## **Reducing Gaussian noise**



Smoothing with larger standard deviations suppresses noise, but also blurs the image

### Reducing salt-and-pepper noise

3x3

5x5

7x7



#### What's wrong with the results?

## Alternative idea: Median filtering

• A median filter operates over a window by selecting the median intensity in the window



• Is median filtering linear?

## Median filter

- Is median filtering linear?
- Let's try filtering

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

## Median filter

- What advantage does median filtering have over Gaussian filtering?
  - Robustness to outliers



filters have width 5 :

## Median filter



Source: M. Hebert

#### Gaussian vs. median filtering



## Sharpening revisited





before

after

# Sharpening

#### What does blurring take away?



Let's add it back in.





Original Original





## Unsharp mask filter



Next Class: Frequency view of filtering

## **Review: Image filtering**

- Convolution
- Box vs. Gaussian filter
- Separability
- Median filter